







# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Aiexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/749,380  | 12/28/2000    | Denny Ho             | 101229-00000            | 5574             |
| 75  | 90 12/14/2004 |                      | EXAM                    | INER             |
| ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC                  |               |                      | CHAMPAGNE, DONALD       |                  |
| Suite 600   | ut Avenue N.W |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5339 |               |                      | 3622                    | ``               |
|   |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



| •  |  | ,   |         |
|--|--|---|---------|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  | 4       |
|  | 09/749,380   | HO, DENNY   |         |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |         |
|  | Donald L. Champagne  | 2121  |         |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply  | ppears on the cover sheet with   | he correspondence address   |         |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABANI iling date of this communication, even if time   | be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.  If from the mailing date of this communic  OONED (35 U.S.C. § 133) | cation. |
| Status / (   | 6 OLL x  |   |         |
| Status  1)  ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26  2a)  ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Th  | August 2004. 12-11-0 4   |   |         |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ Tr   | nis action is non-final.   |   |         |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow  | vance except for formal matters  | , prosecution as to the merit   | ts is   |
| closed in accordance with the practice under   | r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1   | 1, 453 O.G. 213.  |         |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |   |         |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and   | rawn from consideration.   |   |         |
| Application Papers   |  |   |         |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 December 2000 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the left.  | s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob<br>ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance.<br>ection is required if the drawing(s) i  | See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12   |         |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |         |
| a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | ints have been received. Ints have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Rec | ication No<br>ceived in this National Stage   | ı       |
| Attachment(s)  |  |   |         |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) 🔲 Interview Sumi  | nary (PTO-413)  |         |
| <ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>   | Paper No(s)/M  5) Notice of Inform  6) Other:  | ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |         |
|  |  |   |         |

Application/Control Number: 09/749,380

Art Unit: 2121

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed with an amendment on 16 August 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The arguments are addressed at para. 5-7 below. In addition, the examiner has found a limitation that might permit the application to be allowed (para. 8-10 below).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scroggie et al.
- 4. Scroggie et al. teaches a system, server, apparatus, method and record medium for controlling sales promotions using direct mail based on an Internet technology, the method comprising: Transmitting by e-mail to a registered customer a plurality of inventive offers, to which the customer must reply in order to obtain the incentives, or sending to the customer an incentive or a token which must be printed by the customer and bears a customer identification col. 12 lines 44-65 and col. 2 lines 54-65), either of which reads on obtaining a determined result as to whether or not issued direct mail was browsed; and tracking the purchase history of customers using the incentives (col. 4 lines 39-47), which reads on automatically controlling sales promotion activities for commodities advertised by the direct mail based on the determined result.
- 5. Applicant argues (p. 9) that "a determined result as to whether or not issued direct mail was browsed" should be interpreted as determining, without action on the part of the recipient, whether or not the direct mail was browsed or opened. In other words, applicant argues that the "determining" is necessarily done by the "direct mail open acknowledge request option" disclosed at para. [0015], second line, of the published specification (US 20010037237A1).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/749,380

Art Unit: 2121

6. However, the disclosure does not qualify as the "clear definition" required by MPEP § 2111.01: The specification does not establish the metes and bounds of the term "a determined result as to whether or not issued direct mail was browsed/opened". A clear definition must unambiguously establish what is and what is not included. A clear definition is indicated by a section labeled definitions, or by the use of phrases such as "by xxx we mean"; "xxx is defined as"; or "xxx includes, ... but does not include ...". In the instant case, the examiner is required to give the term its broadest reasonable interpretation (MPEP § 2111), which the examiner judges to be any determination as to whether or not issued direct mail was browsed/opened. The determination taught by Scroggie et al., which requires an explicit customer reply, reads on that.

7. Applicant also argues (p. 9) that "automatically" controlling promotion activities means "without any further action by the recipient", but Scroggie et al. clearly teaches that after receipt of the customer reply.

## Suggestion of Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Scroggie et al. does not teach or suggest use of a "direct mail open acknowledge request option" (para. [0015], second line, of the published specification, US 20010037237A1). Hence adding this limitation to the claims, in place of "obtaining a determined result", would overcome the instant rejection.
- 9. Furthermore, the examiner has performed a preliminary search for prior art teaching a direct mail/email open acknowledge request option. The closest prior art found was Nielsen (US006108688A). Because Nielsen does not teach or suggest the application of a direct mail/email open acknowledge request option to marketing or sales promotion, the examiner judges that combination of Nielsen and Scroggie et al. would not be obvious.
- 10. Applicant is cautioned that an allowance could not be considered until this or any other amendment was thoroughly searched.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The disclosure says that the determination is whether or not the message was "opened" or "browsed". For example, compare para. [0008] and [0010]. Hence the two terms are taken to be synonyms here. Para. [0015] also equates "direct mail" with "electronic mail".

Application/Control Number: 09/749,380

Art Unit: 2121

#### Conclusion

- 11. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 12. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald L Champagne whose telephone number is 571-272-6717. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 5 PM ET, Monday to Thursday. The examiner can also be contacted by e-mail at <a href="mailto:donald.champagne@uspto.gov">donald.champagne@uspto.gov</a>, and <a href="mailto:informal">informal</a> fax communications (i.e., communications not to be made of record) may be sent directly to the examiner at 571-273-6717.
- 14. The examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber, can be reached on 703-305-8469. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.
- 15. AFTER FINAL PRACTICE Consistent with MPEP § 706.07(f) and 713.09, prosecution generally ends with the final rejection. Examiner will grant an interview after final only when applicant presents compelling evidence that "disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration" (MPEP § 713.09). The burden is on applicant to demonstrate this requirement, preferably in no more than 25 words. Amendments are entered after final only when the amendments will clearly simplify issues, or put the case into condition for allowance, clearly and without additional search or more than nominal consideration.

Application/Control Number: 09/749,380

Art Unit: 2121

16. Applicant may have after final arguments considered and amendments, including that suggested by para. 8 above, entered by filing an RCE.

17. ABANDONMENT – If examiner cannot by telephone verify applicant's intent to continue prosecution, the application is subject to abandonment six months after mailing of the last Office action. The agent, attorney or applicant point of contact is responsible for assuring that the Office has their telephone number. Agents and attorneys may verify their registration information including telephone number at the Office's web site, <a href="www.uspto.gov">www.uspto.gov</a>. At the top of the home page, click on Site Index. Then click on Agent & Attorney Roster in the alphabetic list, and search for your registration by your name or number.

DONALD L. CHARPAGNE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Donald L. Champagne Primary Examiner Art Unit 3622 (2121 Detail)

11 December 2004